

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/862,997		YUZAWA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Bertrand Zeade		2875	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Bertrand Zeade.

(3) Stephen Husar.

(2) Richard Diefendorf.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 15 September 2004.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 16.


Identification of prior art discussed: Prior art of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's base claim 16 distinguished over the prior art of record, and will be allowable subject to the update of the field of search.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
Stephen Husar  
Primary Examiner

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required